Approved For Release 2001/05/1

MEMORANDIM FOR:

NPIC/P&DS/D/6-1481 22 July 1966

!	ATTENTION:	chief, rrocarement Division, Office of Logistics
S	SUBJECT:	Contract
25X1A 25X1A	REFERENCE:	(a) Memorandum NPIC/P&DS/D/6-745 Dated 27 January 1966 (b) Letter from the Contracting Officer
25X1A	25X1A	1966) Memorandum from Contracting Officer, NPIC Dated 23 June 1966
	25X1A	

1. The subject contract is for the design, development, and fabrication of three advanced prototype light tables under a 25X1A fixed price contract with 1965 the Contractor requested additional funding, and NPIC made a 25X1A negative recommendation to your orfice in reference (a). Subsequently by reference (b), the contracting officer informed the contractor that any consideration of financial help would have to be delayed until satisfactory completion and delivery of all items under the contract. Reference (c) contains another request from the contractor for additional

- 2. Our response to reference (c) remains the same as stated in reference (a); financial help, if any, must be deferred pending satisfactory completion and delivery of all items under the contract. At this date, delivery is not complete, and the items are not satisfactory.
- 3. Furthermore, the specifications that the contractor claims to have exceeded in reference (c) were only the minimum values that had to be met to make the equipment acceptable. It was to be expected that some of these minimum goals would be exceeded; but, in no case did the technical monitor encourage the contractor to strive to exceed the specifications with the expectation of additional compensation.

25X1A statement that Light Table No. 2 "was acceptable in all other areas of workmanship and machanical function" is very misleading. As pointed out in reference (a), reasonable compromises were made to the specifications in order to give the contractor some relief. For instance, the workmanship in the castings and choice of color are both below acceptable commercial levels and the contractor was not required to refinish either Light Table number 1 or 2 because

DECLASS REVIEW BY NIMA / DoD

Approved For Release 2001/05/11: CIA-RDP78B04747A001400030019-4

it was found that he would not accept this additional cost in light of his overrum status. In addition, the overall dimensions of the instruments -- as clearly outlined in the Development Objectives -- were relaxed to provide the contractor additional design freedom. This resulted in the equipment being considerably larger than intended. Again this was done as a reasonable compromise. Additional exceptions such as non-performance of the film hold-down mechanism and the poorly designed tilting mechanisms were also allowed.

5. It is doubtful that the three items that the contractor cites to demonstrate ambiguity of the specifications could have been more clearly stated in the Development Objectives -- the microscopes to be utilized with the instruments were listed, the Illumination specifications were distinctly stated, and the microscope mounting technique was implied in the mensuration accuracy and least count specifications which were also relieved.

6. In conclusion, we recommend no further consideration for additional funds until the performance under this contract has been completed and all deliverable items have been accepted by this office. At this point, it does not appear that any basis for additional

funding can be justified.

25X1A

25X1A

Assistant for Flans and Development, NPIC

Distribution:

Original and 1 - Addressee

3 - P&DS/DB

25X1A

NPIC/P&DS

2476: (13 July 66)